

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------|-------------|--|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/516,791 | | 12/03/2004 | | Joseph Gabriel Maginness | P/4675-2 | 7323 |
| 2352 | 7590 | 07/18/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN | | | | | KRAMER, DEAN J | |
| 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403 | | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | -, - · · · | | | | 3652 | |
| | | | | | DATE MAILED: 07/18/200 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/516,791 | MAGINNESS, JOSEPH GABRIEL | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Dean J. Kramer | 3652 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Disions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)[🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M | May 2006. | | | | | | |
| | | s action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-18 is/are pending in the | application. | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-17</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | ☑ Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🔲 - | The specification is objected to by the Examina | er. | | | | | | |
| 10)🛛 | The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 May 2006</u> is/are: a |)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to l | by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | • | • | | | | | |
| 11)[| The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen | |)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price | | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Burea | · · | · | | | | | |
| * S | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list | t of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | • • | _ | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Inforn | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed May 30, 2006 and the remarks presented therewith have been carefully considered and are deemed to overcome the rejections set forth in the first Office action. However, a new non-final rejection follows below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent DE 3238062.

The German ('062) patent discloses a device for collecting animal excreta by dispensing a freezing component therearound to harden the excreta and subsequently lifting the hardened excreta fro the surface it was deposited on. The German ('062) patent lists domestic cats as an example of an animal whose excreta can be collected in this manner. Domestic cats are often trained to defecate in litter boxes that contain sand-like litter. When using the German ('062) device to collect excreta deposited on or in conventional sand-like litter, it would be probable that the aerosol freezing component would contact grains of sand (wherein these grains can be considered solid bodies) disposed on the surface of the excreta. Thus, in claim 18 of the instant application, the

Art Unit: 3652

steps of "contacting the excreta with a solid body", "form a bond between the excreta and the solid body", and "lifting the solid body" would inherently occur assuming the excreta has been deposited, frozen, and then removed from a standard cat litter box with each grain of the litter being a "solid body".

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-13 and 15-17 are allowed.

Drawings

4. The corrected drawings were received on 5/30/06. These drawings are approved by the examiner.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean J. Kramer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6926. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,791

Art Unit: 3652

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dean J' Kramer
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3652

djk 7/12/06